

**REMARKS**

This amendment is in response to a non-final Office action (Paper No. 41) mailed October 18, 2005. Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1, 2, 4, 25, 26 and 29-31, 33-49, 51 and 53-60 will be pending. Applicant has canceled claims 3, 32, 50 and 52 without prejudice or disclaimer as to their subject matter by this amendment, amended claims 1, 31, 39 and 46 by this amendment and newly added claims 53-60 by this amendment.

In Paper No. 41, the Examiner rejected claim 31 under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by USP 5,198,802 to Bertram et al. The Examiner also rejected claims 32, 38-40 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bertram. The Examiner also rejected claims 1, 2, 25, 29, 35-37, 41, 43 and 45 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bertram in view of newly cited USP 5,283,562 to Kaneko et al. In Paper No. 41, the Examiner also deemed claims 17, 30, 46, 47 and 49 allowed and deemed claims 3, 26, 33, 34, 48 and 50-52 as allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicant, by this amendment, has amended claim 1 to include the subject matter of former claim 3, amended claim 31 to include the subject matter of former claim 50 and intervening claim 32 and amended claim 39 to include the subject matter of former claim 52 to place claims 1, 31 and 39 and their depending claims in instant condition for allowance thus making the above claim rejections moot.

Applicant has amended claim 46 by this amendment to correct for an antecedent basis error.

Also, in this present amendment, Applicant has newly added independent claims 53 and 57 along with depending claims 54-56 and 58-60 by this amendment. Independent claim 53 and depending claims 54-56 is claims the embodiment of former claim 52 in better language and in fewer words while being distinguished from the prior art. Claim 57 and depending claims 58-60 claims the embodiments of FIGS. 8, 9A, 9B, 10, 11A and 11B re the auto repositioning of the indicator during menu enlargement/reduction. Unlike allowed claim 30, claims 57-60 are method claims and have less language while being distinguished from the prior art. Entry of and favorable examination of these claims is respectfully requested.

A fee of \$150.00 is incurred by the filing of this amendment for the addition of three (3) more claims in excess of 20.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, all claims are deemed to be allowable and this application is believed to be in condition to be passed to issue. If there are any questions, the Examiner is asked to contact the Applicant's attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. E. Bushnell", is written over a horizontal line.

Robert E. Bushnell  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P55862  
Date: 12/16/05  
I.D.: REB/ML